

1 JEFFREY L. KESSLER (*pro hac vice*)  
A. PAUL VICTOR (*pro hac vice*)  
2 ALDO A. BADINI (Bar No. 257086)  
EVA W. COLE (*pro hac vice*)  
3 MOLLY M. DONOVAN (*pro hac vice*)  
**WINSTON & STRAWN LLP**  
200 Park Avenue  
New York, New York 10166-4193  
4 Telephone: (212) 294-6700  
5 Facsimile: (212) 294-4700  
6 Email: jkessler@winston.com

7 STEVEN A. REISS (*pro hac vice*)  
DAVID L. YOHAI (*pro hac vice*)  
8 ADAM C. HEMLOCK (*pro hac vice*)  
**WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP**  
9 767 Fifth Avenue  
New York, New York 10153-0119  
10 Telephone: (212) 310-8000  
Facsimile: (212) 310-8007  
11 Email: steven.reiss@weil.com

12 *Attorneys for Defendants Panasonic Corporation (f/k/a Matsushita Electric*  
13 *Industrial Co., Ltd.), Panasonic Corporation of North America, and MT*  
*Picture Display Co., Ltd.*

14  
15 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
16 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
**SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

17  
18 **Case No. 07-5944 SC**  
**MDL No. 1917**

19 **In re: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT)**  
20 **ANTITRUST LITIGATION**

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING**  
**MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER**  
**QUASHING DEPOSITION**

21  
22 This Document Relates to:

Date and Time: December, 13, 2013; 10 A.M.  
Court: Courtroom 1, 17<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Judge: Hon. Samuel Conti

23 **INDIRECT PURCHASER, DIRECT ACTION**  
24 **PLAINTIFF, AND CALIFORNIA**  
**ATTORNEY GENERAL ACTIONS**

1 Defendants Panasonic Corporation (f/k/a Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., Ltd.),  
2 Panasonic Corporation of North America, and MT Picture Display Co., Ltd.'s (collectively,  
3 "Panasonic") Motion for Protective Order Quashing Deposition came on regularly for hearing  
4 before the Honorable Samuel Conti on December 13, 2013 at 10:00 a.m. in Courtroom 1 of the  
5 above-entitled Court. Panasonic and the Indirect-Purchaser Plaintiffs, Direct Action Plaintiffs,  
6 and the Attorney General of the State of California (collectively, "Plaintiffs") appeared by way of  
7 their attorneys of record.

8 After full consideration of the parties' submissions and the arguments of counsel, and  
9 good cause appearing therefrom,

10 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Panasonic's Motion for  
11 Protective Order Quashing Deposition is GRANTED. Plaintiffs are precluded from taking the  
12 deposition of Fumio Ohtsubo.

13 IT IS SO ORDERED.

14 Dated:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Samuel Conti  
United States District Court Judge